

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wopto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/541,444	06/09/2006	Hartmut S. Engel	MFA-26002/04	6382
25006 GIFFORD, KI	7590 09/18/200 RASS, SPRINKLE,ANI	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 7021 TROY, MI 48007-7021			ZETTL, MARY E	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2875	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/18/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	10/541,444	ENGEL, HARTMUT S.
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit
	MARY ZETTL	2875

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. Si The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant's arguments have not been found to be convincing. In response to the argument on page 14th the Examiner's conclusion of obviousness is based on improper hindsight reasoning, it must be recognized that any judgment on obviousness is in a sense necessarily a reconstruction based upon hindsight reasoning. But so long as it takes into account only knowledge which was within the level of ordinary still at the time the claimed invention was made, and does not include knowledge ideated only from the applicant's disclosure, such a reconstruction is proper. In re McLaughlin, 445 F.2d 1992, 170 USPQ 29 ICCPA 1971. In regard to the arguments on pages 7 and 8 over the definition of direct light discharge region it is noted that the applicant has not has defined direct light discharge region as being defined by the opening of the reflector. Its definition is broad and as such the examiner has applied a broad, but reasonable interpretation of the term, meeting this definition. Since the output light will be guided and as such defined by the reflector and spread through many planar regions the examiner maintains that there is a plane wherein both the direct light discharge region and the diffuse light discharge region are coplanar region the examiner maintains that there is a plane wherein both the offer its plan the plan of the plan that there is a plane to the plan that the reside and the reflector that there is a plane region wherein both the of the plate 5 reside and therefore the two are considered coplanar.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).

13. Other: \_\_\_\_

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)	Application No.	
	/Sharon E. Payne/	
	Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2875	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20090915